## Section 6: Toolkit Guidance

## Contents

What is a Toolkit?	6–1
Toolkit Checklists and How They Help You	6–1
"Is This a Toolkit?" Checklist	6–3
Toolkit Content Checklist	6–4
Toolkit Usability Checklist	6–5
Tool Checklist	6–7
How To Present Your Toolkit to the End User	
on the Web	6–8

Appendix 6-A. AHRQ Toolkits: Standard Formatting for Products

### What is a Toolkit?

To translate research findings into policy and practice, the Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) and its grantees or contractors occasionally decide to create toolkits based on their work.

- A "toolkit" is a collection of related information, resources, or tools that together can guide users to develop a plan or organize efforts to follow evidence-based recommendations or meet evidence-based specific practice standards.
- A "tool" is an instrument (e.g., survey, guidelines, or checklist) that helps users accomplish a specific task that contributes to meeting a specific evidence-based recommendation or practice standard.

Toolkits provide action-oriented guidance for practitioners or policymakers to apply the research to their work. This document outlines considerations for developing effective toolkits, and includes a series of checklists described below.

## Toolkit Checklists and How They Help You

The checklists provided should help the toolkit developer(s) and AHRQ reviewers in designing and checking the final product under a grant or contract with AHRQ. Three checklists will help you with the overall toolkit:

- The first checklist ("Is This a Toolkit?") is used to determine if a toolkit is the right method to disseminate your research. Throughout your project, revisit this checklist to see if the results of the research continue to fit the toolkit frame.
- The second checklist (Tool Content Checklist) will help you develop the toolkit's contents once you decide on the toolkit format. This list looks at the type of information you should present in a toolkit and how to highlight critical versus supplementary information. Answer the content checklist questions for your toolkit and tools to determine whether you have provided users with sufficient information to implement the changes in behavior that your research recommends.
- The third checklist (Toolkit Usability Checklist) will assist your team in thinking about the framework for your research-based tools—target users, toolkit style, and the function of each tool. The checklist also prompts your team to consider validating the toolkit with its intended audience, steering users to additional information, and measuring the impact of the toolkit.

A fourth checklist (Tool Checklist) is useful for the entire toolkit but is intended for individual tools. This checklist addresses organization, design, and language. It provides more detail and will aid your team in creating a set of tools that is consistent, making each easier to navigate and use.

- Cohesive and logical organization helps users navigate the tool, improves comprehension, and encourages use. Answer the questions in the Organization Considerations section to determine how successfully your toolkit aids users in finding and using the information presented.
- Each tool should conform to the AHRQ Publishing and Communications Guidelines. The guidelines ensure a consistent look and feel across materials. You may want to consider consulting with a graphic designer who can assist with layout and incorporating graphic elements into the toolkit. Examine the questions in the Design Considerations section to determine if you can improve the tool's look and usability.
- Clear and concise language aids in communicating your message. Use the Language Considerations section to ensure your grammar and word choice are appropriate for the tool. You may also consider having an editor review the product to ensure these considerations are addressed.

In the planning stages and during the production process, review each of the four checklists with your team to ensure that you provide users with a product that includes all critical information. Fill out the four checklists for the entire toolkit, and for each individual tool contained in the checklist, complete checklist four (see Table 1). To proceed, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the checklists. If you answer "somewhat" or "no" to any of the items, brainstorm with your team about how to resolve any concerns.

Table 1—Checklists To Use With Your Toolkit and Tools

	Toolkit	Tool
1. "Is This a Toolkit?" Checklist	✓	
2. Toolkit Content Checklist	✓	
3. Toolkit Usability Checklist	✓	
4. Tool Checklist	✓	✓

Here are the four checklists.

A "toolkit" is a collection of related information, resources, or tools that together can guide users to develop a plan or organize efforts to follow evidence-based recommendations or meet evidence-based specific practice standards.  Toolkits are effective for presenting action-oriented recommendations, but they are not appropriate for all research. At the beginning of your project, and throughout the process, address these checklist questions to determine if a toolkit is the proper way to share your research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the checklist. If you answer "po" to any of the items, brainstorm with your team about how to resolve		
to develop a plan or organize efforts to follow evidence-based recommendations or meet evidence-based specific practice standards.  Toolkits are effective for presenting action-oriented recommendations, but they are not appropriate for all research. At the beginning of your project, and throughout the process, address these checklist questions to determine if a toolkit is the proper way to share your research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
appropriate for all research. At the beginning of your project, and throughout the process, address these checklist questions to determine if a toolkit is the proper way to share your research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
address these checklist questions to determine if a toolkit is the proper way to share your research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
address these checklist questions to determine if a toolkit is the proper way to share your research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
research findings.  To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
To proceed with a toolkit format, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the		
checklist. If you answer "no" to any of the items, brainstorm with your team about how to resolve		
any concerns. You may also want to consider pursuing another stand-alone product, such as a		
research paper or a fact sheet.		
What behavior or action are you trying to promote?		
The trial control of double die you hying to promote.		
2. Why is a package of tools the best way to attain your goal (as opposed to a research paper,		
fact sheet, or other stand-alone product?		
Table of Section States and Ground Company		
3. Have you verified that a product like this does not already exist? ☐ Yes		
□ No		
4. Did your research generate multiple action-oriented tools (such as specific		
procedures, protocols, or other structured activities) that, working together, can		
help users develop a plan or organize efforts to conform to evidence-based		
practice?		
5. Have you spoken to potential users to determine the demand for a product like		
5. Have you spoken to potential users to determine the demand for a product like this one? How do you know there's a demand? What research or data supports		
5. Have you spoken to potential users to determine the demand for a product like this one? How do you know there's a demand? What research or data supports this demand?		
5. Have you spoken to potential users to determine the demand for a product like this one? How do you know there's a demand? What research or data supports		
5. Have you spoken to potential users to determine the demand for a product like this one? How do you know there's a demand? What research or data supports this demand?		

2. To	oolkit Content Checklist		
Tool	lkits should have a standard format and look as well as similar types of info	rmation to	easily
guid	e users through a process of change. Answer the content checklist questio	ns for your	toolkit
and	tools to determine if you have provided users with sufficient information to	implement	the
	nges in behavior that your research recommends.		
To p	proceed, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the checklist.	If you answ	ver
	to any of the items, brainstorm with your team about how to resolve any co	oncerns.	
1.	Are the toolkit and tools based on tasks?	Yes	□ No
•	Does the toolkit provide sequential steps users should follow?	Yes	□ No
•	Does the toolkit provide examples of how others have used the toolkit or	Yes	□ No
1	tools successfully?		
2.	Does the first page of the toolkit state its purpose?	☐ Yes	□ No
3.	Does the first page of the toolkit explain how to use	☐ Yes	□ No
1	the toolkit?	u res	<b>□</b> 110
4.	Does the first page of the toolkit list each tool and	☐ Yes	□ No
i	its purpose?	<b>u</b> 165	<b>—</b> 110
5.	Does the first page of the toolkit describe target users and address their	☐ Yes	□ No
	differing goals in using the toolkit (see Toolkit Overview Checklist)?	<b>u</b> 165	<b>—</b> 110
6.	Do the tools provide necessary information regarding what users need to	Yes	□ No
(	complete tasks, such as:		
	<ul> <li>Staff time.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Staff skills.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Materials.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Equipment.</li> </ul>		
	<ul> <li>Administrative clearances and approvals.</li> </ul>		
7.	Does the toolkit organize resources to achieve a goal through specific	Yes	□ No
	actions (assign responsibilities, create a schedule, document progress,		
	and ensure accountability)?		
8.	Does each tool give adequate instruction on how to use it (e.g., collect	Yes	□ No
	and analyze data, interpret results, implement suggestions, and assess		
	impact)?		
9.	Does the toolkit provide users with additional resources for more	Yes	□ No
	information?		

3. 1	oolkit Usability Checklist		
Thi	nk deliberately about the toolkit and	d its components: the potential users, the user	s' goals, the
too	kit's look, expert validation, and m	easures of success. This checklist will help yo	u and your
tea	m to plan a well-designed, usable t	toolkit.	
To	proceed, make sure you have ans	wered "yes" to each item in the checklist. If you	ı answer "no"
to a	iny of the items, brainstorm with yo	our team about how to resolve any concerns or	consider
pur	suing an alternative method for dis	seminating your research results.1	
Use	ers		
1.	Have you identified your target gr	oup of users and taken them into account whe	n
••	designing the toolkit? How?	oup or doors and taken them like account who	
	designing the teemat. Them:		☐ Yes
			— □ No
			☐ Yes
2.	Is there more than one target grou	up of users?	□ No
	Will thou have different goals?		<b>—</b> 110
-	Will they have different goals?		☐ Yes
•	What are some of those goals?		☐ No
			🗖 110
	Have very sometimed by a different	verse and add the teallitte suit their reside.	D V.
3.	Have you explained now different	users can adapt the toolkit to suit their needs?	
	William to the state of the same to		□ No
	What tasks do you want users to	•	
	ool	Goal	
Α.			
В.			
C.			
D.			
E.			
F.			
5.	Are target users familiar with the t	coolkit's concepts and terminology?	☐ Yes
			☐ No
Sty	le		
1.	How is this toolkit presented?		
		☐ Printed Document	
	□ Video	☐ Audio	
	☐ Other:	☐ Slide presentations	
		different kinds of presentations that include	
	information elements, such as for	at size, typeface, and color. Does the toolkit	☐ Yes
		d Communications Guidelines for that	□ No
	presentation style?	a Communications Galdonnes for that	<b>-</b> 110
2.		Web-based environment reflects the	
۷.		ument. Primary information is on the first	
_		s on the second level of the Web site.	
		,	☐ Yes ☐ No
	primary level?		□ Not
			Applicable

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  For question 2, if there is only one target group, the answer will be "no" and the subsequent two questions are not applicable.

•	Is your secondary information lir of information?	nked so it is supplementary to the first level	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Not Applicable
3.		e a cohesive, AHRQ-branded look and follow	☐ Yes
	the design specifications laid ou	t in the AHRQ Publishing and	□ No
_	Communications Guidelines?		
Tes	sting and Evaluation		
1.	Will the toolkit be tested before i	t is published?	☐ Yes
			□ No
•	How will the toolkit be tested?		
	Expert review	Focus groups with users	
	Usability testing	☐ Other:	
2.		act of the toolkit within their organization? What 3-5 methods of impact measurement.)	t are the
lm	olementation		
1.	If the toolkit requires updates, wundates?	ill you, the developers, perform those	☐ Yes ☐ No☐ Not ☐ Not Applicable
2.	communities of practice for those	as the capacity to serve as a "live" resource for e with shared interests, bulletin boards, networn ongoing quality oversight and technical support	users (e.g., king sites),

4.	Tool Checklist		
Th	is checklist addresses three areas: organization, design, and language.		
To proceed, make sure you have answered "yes" to each item in the checklist. If you answer			
"sc	mewhat" or "no" to any of the items, brainstorm with your team about how	to resolve	any
COI	ncerns.		
Or	ganization Considerations		
Co	hesive and logical organization helps users navigate the tool, improves cor	mprehensi	on, and
	courages use. Answer the questions in this section to determine how succe		
	s users in finding and using the information presented.	•	
1.	Does the tool have an advance organizer, such as a table of contents or	☐ Yes	□ No
	site map?		
-	Does the advance organizer provide a coherent, complete "big picture"	☐ Yes	□ No
	view of the tool?	Some	
2.	Does the tool have an organizational hierarchy and a clear structure of		□ No
	main topics and subtopics?	☐ Some	
-	Restricting your hierarchy to fewer than five levels makes it easier for		□ No
	users to navigate the tool. Does the tool have fewer than five levels in		
	the hierarchy?		
	Is the hierarchy maintained throughout the tool?	☐ Yes	□ No
_	is the meralicity maintained throughout the tool:	☐ Some	_
3.	Is the tool structure based on tasks?		Wilat ☐ No
٥.	is the tool structure based on tasks:	☐ Some	_
4.	Does the tool have headings (this can apply to printed documents,		Wilat ☐ No
4.	Web-based documents, presentations,	<b>□</b> 165	LI INO
	etc.)?		
_	•	☐ Yes	□ No
•	Are sections or headings arranged in a logical order? Do they clearly	☐ Some	
_	describe the contents of the sections they cover?		
•	Do the paragraphs relate to the headings? (Do they contain information		□ No
	users would expect to find under each heading?)	□ Some	
•	Does each section identify the appropriate user?		□ No
_		□ Some	
5.	Are there clear cross references to other sections, research, tools, or		□ No
	toolkits (e.g. Web hyperlinks)?	☐ Some	what
	sign Considerations		
	ch tool should conform to the AHRQ Publishing and Communications Guid		
	nsistent look and feel across materials. You may want to consider consulting		
	signer who can assist with layout and incorporating graphic elements. Exar	mine the qu	uestions
in t	his section to determine if you can improve the tool's look and usability.		
1.	Does each tool's design adhere to the AHRQ Publishing and	Yes	☐ No
	Communications Guidelines and have the same branding		
	and style?		
2.	Does each tool address 508 compliance issues? <sup>2</sup>	☐ Yes	☐ No
3.	Does the tool appear accessible and easy to use?	☐ Yes	☐ No
4.	Does the tool have a visual focal point (logo, title, or	Yes	□ No
	design element)?		
5.	Does the tool have an intentional and predictable grid with elements	Yes	☐ No
	lining up vertically on the page? For example, do paragraphs start at 1"		
	and all bulleted lists start at 2" from the border?		
6.	Does the tool use common and easily readable fonts, such as Arial,	☐ Yes	□ No
	Verdana, Tahoma, Garamond, or Times Roman?		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section 508 Amendment to the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 requires Federal agencies to make their electronic and information technology accessible to people with disabilities. See www.section508.gov and Section 2 of the AHRQ Publishing and Communications Guidelines for more information and additional resources.

7.	Are different fonts or sizes used to denote different levels of the	☐ Yes	☐ No
	organizational hierarchy?		
8.	Does the tool use emphasis typeface techniques, such as bold and	☐ Yes	☐ No
	italics, without overusing them?		
9.	Are the fill-in spaces on the tool large enough for users to comfortably	☐ Yes	□ No
	enter information? If the toolkit is Web based, do the fields expand to		
4.0	accommodate any number of characters?		
	Are pages, items, or questions numbered to help users navigate?	☐ Yes	□ No
	Does the tool use bullets or numbers to list important information?	☐ Yes	□ No
12.	Does the tool use white space—the area not used for text, such as	☐ Yes	□ No
	borders and the space between lines—to visually organize sections and	□ Some	what
	items and make the tool more reader friendly?		
	Does the tool use color and shading to help users navigate?	☐ Yes	☐ No
14.	Does the tool use visual displays in addition to text, such as tables, lists,	Yes	□ No
	and graphics?	□ Some	what
•	Do the visuals have descriptive titles?	Yes	□ No
•	Do the visuals support the text and help communicate the message to	Yes	□ No
	users?	Some	what
•	Are these visuals 508 compliant, i.e., do they contain text to make them	Yes	■ No
	accessible to individuals with disabilities?	Some	what
La	nguage Considerations		
Cle	ear and concise language aids in communicating your message. Use this se	ction to er	sure
yοι	ir grammar and word choice are appropriate for the tool. You may also cons	sider havir	ig an
edi	tor review the product to ensure these considerations are addressed.		
1.	Does the tool use clear and concise language that's free of jargon?	☐ Yes	☐ No
2.	Does the tool use acronyms and abbreviations only when necessary, and	☐ Yes	□ No
	spelled out on first use?		
3.			
	Does the tool use positive or simple negative sentence construction	☐ Yes	□ No
	Does the tool use positive or simple negative sentence construction whenever possible? (for example: <i>Always include</i> or <i>never include</i> not	☐ Yes	□ No
1		☐ Yes	□ No
4.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)	☐ Yes	□ No
4.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not		
4. 5.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?		
	whenever possible? (for example: <i>Always include</i> or <i>never include</i> not <i>don't include</i> )  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: <i>consult stakeholders</i> not	☐ Yes	□ No
	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation	☐ Yes	□ No
5.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation team)?	☐ Yes	□ No
5.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation team)?  Does the tool use action verbs (for example: assess not make an	☐ Yes	□ No
5. 6.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation team)?  Does the tool use action verbs (for example: assess not make an assessment)?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□ No □ No
<ul><li>5.</li><li>6.</li><li>7.</li></ul>	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation team)?  Does the tool use action verbs (for example: assess not make an assessment)?  Does the tool use gender-neutral words?	☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes☐ Yes	□ No □ No □ No
5. 6. 7. 8.	whenever possible? (for example: Always include or never include not don't include)  Does the tool use the active voice (for example: consult stakeholders not stakeholders should be consulted)?  Does the tool use personal pronouns (for example: your evaluation team)?  Does the tool use action verbs (for example: assess not make an assessment)?  Does the tool use gender-neutral words?  Does the tool use words and terms consistently?	☐ Yes	No No No No No

# How To Present Your Toolkit to the End User on the Web

You have used the checklists to determine that your research findings are appropriate for translation into a toolkit and to determine how to develop and arrange the toolkit's contents. But there is still another essential step in completing the toolkit: determining how to present your toolkit to the end user on the Web. No matter how beneficial the

toolkit is, users won't know unless they are told simply and clearly what's in the toolkit and how to use it. Busy medical professionals need you to explain the toolkit's purpose, what it consists of, how it is organized, and how all the components fit together. They need your help understanding quickly why they should go further into the toolkit from its homepage, how to navigate around the hierarchy of pages, and which tools best meet their needs.

Here is general guidance for how to organize the Web page so it is accessible to the average Web user. Use a simple structure with no more than three or four main categories, with each category having levels of information that users can access through links. Use simple sentences, plain language, and short paragraphs in descriptions.

#### Home page/entry page/splash page

This page explains in a short paragraph at the top the toolkit's purpose— who should use it, what it's for, and why it's important. Then it shows an outline of what the site contains, typically using these divisions:

- How To Use the Toolkit
- Main modules or subject areas of the toolkit
- About the Toolkit Development

Your toolkit might require a different structure. Organize the modules/subject area(s) page(s) based on the amount and subject areas of the materials.

For example, AHRQ's <u>Toolkit for Reducing CAUTI in Hospitals</u> has a large number of materials divided into two main pages: Modules and Information for Specialty Audiences (which include emergency department nurses, for example). The modules page links to three modules, each divided into material type, and the Information for Specialty Audiences page divides its materials into three subject areas. In contrast, the <u>AHRQ</u> <u>Safety Program for End-Stage Renal Disease Facilities – Toolkit</u> has fewer materials; it consists of four modules that each feature slides, facilitator notes, videos, and tools.

#### **Inside pages**

- How To Use the Toolkit explains in general terms what the toolkit components are and lists the product types, including tool types (e.g., pocket guides, checklists) and formats (e.g., Word, PDF, video, audio).
- Toolkit modules presents the main subject areas, briefly describes them, and provides links to each subject area. Within each subject area page, present the materials by type (e.g., Guides, Tools, Archived Webinars, Slides, Videos). Provide a one-sentence description of each item and links to the item and the HTML version.
- About the Toolkit Development explains how the toolkit was developed.
   Provide a one- or two-sentence description and links to these topics: Background,
   Project Partners, and Reports.